

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/706,832	11/12/2003	Philip H. Spano JR.	DB000972-002	6933		
24122	7590 01/13/2005		EXAMINER			
	ED & ARMSTRONG, L	BUTLER, MICHAEL E				
ONE OXFOR 301 GRANT S	D CENTRE STREET, 14TH FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219-1425			3653			
				B. (BB.) () () () () () () () () ()		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner			Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Michael Butler 3653	٠	·	10/706,	832	SPANO ET AL.	17			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Entensions of an may be available used the provisions of 3 CCR 1.136(a). In a ovent, however, may a reply be simely filed the provision of the many to available used the the provision of 3 CCR 1.136(a). In a ovent, however, may a reply be simely filed the provision of the provision o		Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Ederations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be simily filed - Ederation of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be simily filed - If the particle or reply appeaded above, the maximum stabulour prefet will apply and will expire SLX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or reply appeaded above. The making stable of this communication or stable or prefet will apply and will expire SLX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Fable is in gray within the set or centered period for series, which is stable or the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status - 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2004. - 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) Claim(s) 1:11 Is/are petented 5c) Claim(s) 1:11 Is/are rejected 7c) Claim(s) 1:11 Is/are rejected 7c) Claim(s) 1:11 Is/are rejected 7c) Claim(s) 1:11 Is/are rejected to by the Examiner Application Papers - 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 1b) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and			Michael	Butler	3653				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(b). In no event, however, may a reply be limely fled after SIX (8) MONTISS from the mailing date of this communication. It not be not to reply in spondare to the communication of the commun			appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	THE I - External exte	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the n	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no n. a reply within the seriod will apply and statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tile tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this committed (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet	Status	5		204					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 11/2 Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 21/2 Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 31/3 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· 1)[
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	· <u> </u>	,—							
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Appli	3)[_]					erits is			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17, Z(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Patsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applica	ition.						
6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or dectaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
T) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u> </u>	•							
8	·								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	_							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	•		nd/or election	requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicati	on Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Attachment(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9)	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	,					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				•	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	441			= : :	•	• •			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•	e Examiner. i	Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-	152.			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		•							
14) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14)⊠ A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a s				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	t(s)		٧					
	2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		5) Notice of Informal F					

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action, and apply to this and any subsequent Office Actions.

Priority

 Applicant's claim of priority to application 10010387 filed 12/7/01 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-9 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haitin et al. which discloses all the claimed elements including:

(Re: cl 1,3, 4, 6) A memory device carrying a set of instructions (ward computer with memory 22) which, when executed, perform a method comprising: receiving user information (c10 L 32-38); receiving mode information identifying a locate mode (c6 L 62-c7 L 7); receiving information identifying an item to be located (c3 L 3-13); a display positioned on a shelf within the cabinet with the number of items held by that shelf which are to be located (c11 L 34-43; c 11 L 1-2; c10 L 47-51);

(Re: cl 8) receiving a log off-instruction and locking the unlocked doors (c8:L 33-38)

(Re: cl 3,6) displaying the number of items taken on the display (cl1 L 34-43; cl0 L 40-46);

(Re: cl 2, 5) receiving patient information (cl1 L 22-30)

(Re: cl 7, 11) unlocking doors responsive to user information (c10 L 40-51)

(Re: cl 9) flashing number of different items on a numeric display (cl1 L 34-43).

5. Claim(s) 4-6 and 10-11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weinberger 5408443 which discloses:

(Re: cl 1,3, 4, 6) which, when executed, processor performing a method comprising:

receiving user information (c 4 L 34-46)

receiving mode information identifying a locate mode (c 10 L 10-40)

receiving information identifying an item to be located (c 10 L 10-40)

(Re: $cl\ 1,10$) indicating on a numeric display positioned on a shelf within the cabinet with the number of different items held by that compartment which are to be located ($c\ 10\ L\ 10$ -40)

(Re: cl 4,6) displaying substantive information on the item (c 10 L 10-40)

(Re: cl 7, 11) unlocking doors responsive to user information (c9 L 36-59)

(Re: cl 2, 5) receiving patient information (c 6 L 6-28).

6. Claim(s) 1-3 and 7 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDonald et al. '803 (5564803) which discloses:

(Re: cl 1,3, 4, 6) which, when executed, processor performing a method comprising:

receiving user information (c 2 L 45-65)

indicating on a numeric display positioned on a shelf within the cabinet with the number of different items held by that shelf which are to be located [receiving a log off instruction (c2 L 45-65)

and locking the unlocked doors ();]

(Re: cl 3, 6) displaying the number of items taken on the display (c

(Re: cl 2, 5) receiving patient information (c 4 L 50-57)

(Re: cl 4, 6) displaying substantive information on the item (c

(Re: cl 7, 11) unlocking doors responsive to user information (c 2 L 45-65 ;c4 L 26-49)

(Re: cl 2, 5) receiving patient information (c 2 L 45-65)

(Re: cl 9) flashing number of different items on a numeric display

(Re: cl 1,10) indicating on a numeric display positioned on a shelf within the cabinet with the number of different items held by that shelf which are to be located.

7. Claim(s) 1, 3 and 9 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphee 5330062 which discloses:

(Re: cl 1,3, 4, 6) which, when executed, processor performing a method comprising:

receiving user information (c 4 L 53-62)

receiving mode information identifying a locate mode (c

receiving information identifying an item to be located (c 4 L 53-62)

indicating on a numeric display positioned on a shelf within the cabinet with the number of different items held by that shelf which are to be located (c 2 L 29-52)

(Re: cl 3,6) displaying the number of items taken on the display (c 2 L 29-52) (Re: cl 9) flashing number of different items on a numeric display (c 2 L 17-52).

8. Claim(s) 1, 3, and 9 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishaziwa 4783740 which discloses:

(Re: cl 1, 3, 4, 6) which, when executed, processor performing a method comprising:

receiving user information receiving mode information identifying a locate mode receiving information identifying an item to be located, indicating on a numeric display positioned on a shelf within the cabinet with the number of different items held by that shelf which are to be taken (c 4 L 10-38)

(Re: cl 3,6) displaying the number of items taken on the display (c 4 L 10-38)

(Re: cl 9) flashing number of different items on a numeric display.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-9 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold which discloses:

(Re: cl 1,3, 4, 6) which, when executed, processor performing a method comprising:

receiving user information (c7 L 54-64);

receiving mode information identifying a locate mode (c7 L 54-64);

receiving information identifying an item to be located (c7 L 54-64);

indicating on a numeric display positioned on a shelf within the cabinet with the number of different items held by that shelf which are to be located (c8 L 43-65; c7 L 54-64);

(Re: cl 8, 10) receiving a log off instruction (c8 L 6-23);

(Re: cl 3,6) displaying the number of items taken on the display (c7 L 54-64)

(Re: cl 9) flashing number of different items on a numeric display (c7 L 54-64)

(Re: cl 2, 5) receiving patient information (c7 L 54-64)

(Re: cl 7, 11) unlocking doors responsive to user information (c7 L 54-64)

and locking the unlocked doors (c7 L 30-56).

(Re: cl 8) locking doors responsive to a logoff (c8 L 6-23).

Arnold discloses the method is performed under control of a processor control processor (c4 1 55-c5 L 11). The examiner takes official notice that methods are regularly stored in computer magnetic and ROM memory. It would have been obvious to place the method steps performed by the processor in computer nonvolatile memory to have the computer access the steps upon power up, and facilitate transfer of the steps to machines at remote locations and come up with the invention as claimed.

(Re: cl 1,3, 4, 6) which, when executed, processor performing a method comprising:

receiving user information (c 4 L 34-46)

receiving mode information identifying a locate mode (c 10 L 10-40)

receiving information identifying an item to be located (c 10 L 10-40)

(Re: cl 1,10) indicating on a numeric display positioned on a shelf within the cabinet with the number of different items held by that shelf which are to be located

(Re: cl 4,6) displaying substantive information on the item

(Re: cl 7, 11) unlocking doors responsive to user information

(Re: cl 8) receiving log off and locking doors responsive to a logoff

(Re: cl 9) flashing number of different items on a numeric display

(Re: cl 4,6) displaying substantive information on the item

(Re: cl 3,6) displaying the number of different items to be taken on the display (c (Re: cl 2, 5) receiving patient information (c

11. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haitin et al. and Weinberger wherein the former discloses the elements previously discussed and further discloses:

(Re: cl 8) (7) choosing a query mode includes choosing from among a dispense, locate, return, query or restock mode (c9 L 20-35)

The latter discloses any elements not inherently taught by the former including:

(Re: cl 4, 6) entering patient information into the processor (c4 L 34-46) choosing a query mode and identifying an item for which information is sought and displaying substantive information for the identified item (c 10 L 10-40). (Re: cl 2, 5) receiving patient information (c 6 L 6-28). as well as: (Re: cl 7, 11) unlocking doors responsive to user information (c9 L 36-59).

It would have been obvious for Haitin et al. to display substantive information about the medication so the caregiver can review interactions and proper use as taught by Weinberger and come up with the instant invention. It would have been obvious for Haitin et al. to enter patient information to assure the proper patient is receiving the medication as taught by Weinberger and come up with the instant invention.

12. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold et al. and Weinberger wherein the former discloses the elements previously discussed.

The latter discloses any elements not inherently taught by the former including:

(Re: cl 4, 6) entering patient information into the processor (c4 L 34-46) choosing a query mode and identifying an item for which information is sought and displaying substantive information for the identified item (c 10 L 10-40). (Re: cl 2, 5) receiving patient information (c 6 L 6-28). as well as: (Re: cl 7, 11) unlocking doors responsive to user information (c9 L 36-59).

It would have been obvious for Arnold et al. to display substantive information about the medication so the caregiver can review interactions and proper use as taught by Weinberger and come up with the instant invention. It would have been obvious for Arnold et al. to enter patient information to assure the proper patient is receiving the medication as taught by Weinberger and come up with the instant invention.

13. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDonald et al. (5880443) '443 and Yarin et al. (US 2002/0027507 A1) wherein the former discloses the elements previously discussed and further discloses:

Yarin et al. discloses any elements not explicitly taught by McDonald et '443 including:

(Re: cl 4, 6) choosing a query mode and identifying an item for which information is sought and displaying substantive information for the identified item (paragraph 55)

(Re: cl 2, 5) receiving patient information (paragraph 69)

(Re: cl 10) indicating the number of items on a display on the shelf (paragraph 52 & 59)

(Re: cl 9) flashing number of different items on a display (paragraph 52).

It would have been obvious for McDonald et al. '443 to display substantive information about the medication so the caregiver can review interactions and proper use as taught by Yarin et al. and come up with the instant invention. It would have been obvious for McDonald et al. '443 to receive patient information to assure proper regimen as taught by Yarin et al. and come up with the instant invention. It would have been obvious for McDonald et al. '443 to flash the number of items to show proper regimen dosage as taught by Yarin et al. and come up with the instant invention.

14. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold et al. and Yarin et al. (US 2002/0027507 A1) wherein the former discloses the elements previously discussed and further discloses:

Yarin et al. discloses any elements not explicitly taught by Arnold et al including:

(Re: cl 4, 6) choosing a query mode and identifying an item for which information is sought and displaying substantive information for the identified item (paragraph 55)

(Re: cl 2, 5) receiving patient information (paragraph 69)

(Re: cl 10) indicating the number of items on a display on the shelf (paragraph 52 & 59)

(Re: cl 9) flashing number of different items on a display (paragraph 52).

It would have been obvious for Arnold et al. to display substantive information about the medication so the caregiver can review interactions and proper use as taught by Yarin et al. and come up with the instant invention. It would have been obvious for Arnold et al. to receive patient information to assure proper regimen as taught by Yarin et al. and come up with the instant invention. It would have been obvious for Arnold et al. to flash the number of items to show proper regimen dosage as taught by Yarin et al. and come up with the instant invention.

Response to Amendments/Arguments

15. The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are unpersuasive in overcoming the rejections.

Different number is broad enough to read on different number of discrete items.

Conclusion

16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

APPLICATION CONTROL NUMBER: 10/706832

Page: 9

ART UNIT: 3653

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Exmr. Michael E. Butler whose telephone number is

(703) 308-8344.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax number for the

Group is (703) 305-7687.

Michael C. Buth

Michael E. Butler

Examiner

DONALD PLOKS::
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER